

MEMORANDUM

TO: Mary Logan, USEPA February 28, 2008

Project No.: 933-6154

cc:

Sheila Abraham, Ohio EPA

Rainer Domalski, ROC

FR:

Julie Lehrman / Steve Finn

RE:

NEASE SITE, SALEM, OHIO

ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES FOR MIREX TESTING

On behalf of RÜTGERS Organics Corporation (ROC) this memorandum presents a comparison of mirex analyses undertaken on soil samples from the Nease Site by three different laboratories. The soil samples were collected at the former Nease Site (Operable Unit 2, OU-2) and in the floodplain of the Middle Fork of Little Beaver Creek (Operable Unit 3, OU-3). Analytical testing was undertaken by Ohio EPA Division of Environmental Services, Reynoldsburg, Ohio (DES), Severn Trent Laboratories of North Canton, Ohio (STL), and Exygen Research of State College, Pennsylvania (Exygen).²

Analytical Program

A total of 27 composite surface soil samples from OU-2 and 10 composite soil samples from OU-3 were collected between September 18, 2006 and October 6, 2006. In addition, four field duplicates, three matrix spike/matrix spike duplicates (MS/MSD) and two field rinsate blank samples were submitted for quality control purposes. The samples collected, the parameters for analysis, and the laboratory sample identifiers are shown in Table 1. All of the samples were analyzed by DES, with selected samples analyzed by either STL or Exygen as shown in Table 1.

DES prepared the samples using soxhlet extraction with methylene chloride (Ohio Method 581.6), followed by Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC, Ohio Method 581.2) and filtration through Fluorosil (Ohio Method 581.3) prior to analysis by Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Detector (GC/ECD) following Ohio Method 590.2.

STL prepared the samples using soxhlet extraction with 1:1 acetone:hexane (SW-846 Method 3540) prior to GC/ECD analysis following SW-846 Method 8081A.

¹ Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. is now doing business as TestAmerica, Inc.

² Exygen Laboratories is a Division of MPI Research and is now doing business as MPI Research.

Exygen prepared the samples by Accelerated Solvent Extraction (ASE, SW-846³ Method 3545) using 1:1 hexane:acetone solvent, followed by Fluorosil extract clean-up (SW-846 Method 3620B). The solvent was then exchanged to methylene chloride prior to analysis by Gas Chromatography/Electron Capture Negative Ion Mass Spectrometry (GC/ECNIMS) following Exygen Standard Operating Procedure (SOP) 6.2. The GC/ECNIMS detection procedure is analyte specific and is more sensitive than the GC/ECD technology used for conventional pesticide analysis, thereby providing lower reporting limits.

Data Validation Findings

Data validation was performed on the results from all three laboratories following the guidance from USEPA Contract Laboratory Program (CLP) National Functional Guidelines for Organic Data Review (October 1999)/Low Concentration Organic Data Review (June 2001)⁴. While the referenced USEPA National Functional Guidelines were used as guidance during the data review and evaluation procedures, the guidelines strictly apply to CLP Statement of Work (SOW) analyses. Since the samples were analyzed following USEPA SW-846 methods and laboratory specific SOPs, the Quality Control (QC) criteria established in the USEPA National Functional Guidelines are not strictly applicable to the analytical methodologies used. Where the USEPA National Functional Guidelines and method specific criteria differ, professional judgment was used to determine specific qualifications.

In general, chemical results were qualified on the basis of outlying precision or accuracy parameters, or on the basis of professional judgment. The following definitions provide brief explanations of qualifiers that were assigned to data during the data evaluation process.

J The analyte was reported above the method detection limit; however, the associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

The analyte was not detected above the method detection limit. The associated quality control measurements indicate a possible low bias.

³ SW-846 USEPA SW-846 Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste may be accessed at URL http://www.epa.gov/epaoswer/hazwaste/test/main.htm

⁴ USEPA Contract Laboratory Program National Functional Guidelines EPA-540-R-99-008 and EPA-540-R-00-006 accessed at URL http://www.epa.gov/superfund/programs/clp/guidance.htm

The following bulleted items highlight qualifications for specific QC deficiencies. Although these qualifications were applied to some of the samples collected at the site, the qualifications may not have been required or applied to all samples collected.

- Results from all laboratories were qualified J/UJ for sample extraction more than 14 days after sample collection.
- Samples analyzed by Ohio EPA DES and STL were qualified J for MS/MSD recovery outside QC limits.
- Samples analyzed by Ohio EPA DES were qualified **J** for field and laboratory duplicate precision outside QC limits.
- Samples analyzed by STL were qualified **J** for surrogate recovery above QC limits.
- Samples analyzed by Exygen were qualified **J** for surrogate recovery below QC limits.

Table 2 presents the validated results for the DES analyses, and Table 3 provides comparative data for DES, STL and Exygen.

Discussion

For screening purposes, Golder used a goal of <30% relative percent difference (RPD) in evaluating the results of split samples analyzed by different laboratories.

STL met this goal for five of the eight samples analyzed, and for all cases where the concentration was greater than the Preliminary Remediation Goal (PRG) of 1,000 ug/kg established for OU-2. In two out of three cases where the PRG was exceeded, STL's result was higher than that of DES. The greatest differences between STL and DES data occurred at the lowest concentrations. In one case (sample SS06-DUP02), the RPD between the DES result and the STL result was 100%. This sample was also submitted to Ohio EPA DES as a blind field duplicate and the RPD between the primary and field duplicate sample results reported by DES was 74%. The discrepancy between the STL and Ohio EPA DES results may therefore be attributed to sample non-homogeneity. As illustrated in Figure 1, the relationship between STL and DES data does not suggest systematic differences.

Exygen met the RPD goal for only two of the eight samples analyzed and the differences between Exygen and DES results appear to increase with concentration.

Conclusion

Based on the data validation and data quality assessment, the DES and STL analytical data for samples collected at the Site were determined to be acceptable for their intended use (including estimated data). Generally acceptable levels of accuracy and precision, based on laboratory control samples (LCS), MS/MSD, field duplicate and surrogate recoveries, were achieved for the data. The data completeness (i.e. the ratio of the amount of valid data obtained to the amount expected, including estimated (J/UJ) data) was 100%.

STL and DES data is generally in good agreement, and in two out of three cases where the PRG was exceeded, STL reported higher concentrations than DES. Agency approval is therefore requested to use STL/TestAmerica for future mirex analyses.

TABLE 1 SAMPLE SUMMARY NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM, OHIO

Operable Unit	Field ID	Matrix	Sample Date	Depth Top (ft bgs)	Depth Bottom (ft bgs)	Mirex	Blank	MS/MSD	Duplicate	DES Lab ID	STL Lab ID	Exygen Analysis ID
OU-2	SB06-A06-HC 00-01 P	SO	9/27/2006	0	(it bgs)	X	Blank	<u>MIS/MISD</u> _	Duplicate	90652	31L Lab ID	L1970-0003
OU-2	SB06-A07-HC 00-01 P	so	9/27/2006	1 0	1	X				90653	A7C050155-001	L1970-0003
OU-2	SB06-A08-HC 00-01 P	so	9/27/2006	0	1	- ^	 -	 		90654	A7 C030133-001	
OU-2	SB06-A09-HC 00-01 P	so	9/27/2006	0	1	X		-		90679		
OU-2	SB06-A12-HC 00-01 P	so	9/27/2006	0	1	X	 	-		90655		
OU-2	SB06-A13-HC 00-01 P	so	9/27/2006	0	1	- ^ x	 -	 	-	90656		
OU-2	SB06-A17-HC 00-01 D	so	10/3/2006	0	1	x	}		X -	90673	A7C050155-003	
OU-2	SB06-A17-HC_00-01_P	so	10/3/2006	0	1	$\frac{\hat{x}}{x}$		-	- ^	90672	A7 C030 133-003	L1970-0002
OU-2	SB06-A17-HC_00-01_P	SO	10/3/2006	0	1	$-\hat{x}$	 		-	90674	 	L 1970-0002
OU-2	SB06-A27-HC_00-01_P	so	10/5/2006	0		^	 	 	[90675		
					1		 -	-	-			
OU-2	SB06-A11-HC_00-01_P	SO	10/5/2006 10/5/2006	0	1	X			ļ	90676		1 1070 0001
	SB06-A23-HC_00-01_P	so		0	1	X	 		ļ	90677	470050455 004	L1970-0001
OU-2	SB06-A24-HC_00-01_P	SO	10/5/2006	0	1	X	ļ			90678	A7C050155-004	
OU-2	SB06-A22-HC_00-01_P	so	10/6/2006	0	1	X		X		90680	A7C050155-005	
OU-2	SB06-A01-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/28/2006	0	1	X		X		90669	ļ	1.4070.0004
OU-2	SB06-A02-HC_00-01_D	so	9/28/2006	0	1	X		ļ	X	90658	470050455 000	L1970-0004
OU-2	SB06-A02-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/28/2006	0	11	X		ļ	<u> </u>	90657	A7C050155-002	
OU-2	SB06-A03-HC_00-01-P	so	9/28/2006	0	1	X	ļ	ļ	<u> </u>	90659		
OU-2	SB06-A04-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/28/2006	0	1	X			-	90660		
OU-2	SB06-A05-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/28/2006	0	1	X		ļ		90661		
OU-2	SB06-A19-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/29/2006	0	1	X	}	ļ	}	90662	 	
OU-2	SB06-A20-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/29/2006	0	1	X		ļ		90663	ļ	
OU-2	SB06-A21-HC_00-01_P	SO	9/29/2006	0	1	X	<u></u>	ļ		90664		L1970-0005
OU-2	SB06-A25-HC_00-01_P	so	9/29/2006	0	11	X				90665		
OU-2	SB06-A26-HC_00-01_P	so	9/29/2006	0	1	X				90666		
OU-2	SB06-A14-HC_00-01_P	so	10/2/2006	0	. 1	Х		<u> </u>		90667		
OU-2	SB06-A15-HC_00-01_P	so	10/2/2006	0	1	ΧΧ		ļ		90668		
OU-2	SB06-A18-HC_00-01_P	so	10/2/2006	0	1	X	<u> </u>			90670		
OU-2	SB06-A16-HC_00-01_P	SO	10/3/2006	0	1	X	ļ		L	90671		
OU-2	SB06-A01-HC_00-01_B	WQ	9/28/2006	0	11	X	X					L9710-001
OU-2	SB06-A17-HC_00-01_B	WQ	10/3/2006	0	1	Х	X				L	L9756-0006
OU-3	SS06-37.5-0-0.5	SO	9/18/2006	0	0.5	X				90714		
OU-3	SS06-35.3-A-0-0.5	SO	9/18/2006	0	0.5	Χ				90715		
OU-3	SS06-35.3-B-0-0.5	SO	9/18/2006	0	0.5	X		l	Dup1_	90716	A7C050155-006	
OU-3	SS06-DUP01	so	9/18/2006	0	0.5	Х			X	90724		L1970-0008
OU-3	SS06-35.0-0-0.5	so	9/18/2006	0	0.5	X		Х		90717		L1970-0006
OU-3	SS06-33.3-0-0.5	so	9/19/2006	0	0.5	X				90718		
OU-3	SS06-33.0-0-0.5	so	9/19/2006	0	0.5	Х				90719		
OU-3	SS06-22.5-0-0.5	SO	9/19/2006	0	0.5	Х				90720		
OU-3	SS06-17.5-0-0.5	so	9/19/2006	0	0.5	X			Dup2	90721		L1970-0007
OU-3	SS06-DUP02	so	9/19/2006	0	0.5	X			X	90725	A7C050155-008	
OU-3	SS06-12.5-0-0.5	so	9/20/2006	0	0.5	X				90722	A7C050155-007	
OU-3	SS06-27.8-0-0.5	SO	9/20/2006	0	0.5	X				90723		

TABLE 1 SAMPLE SUMMARY NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM, OHIO

933-6154

Definitions: OU O ID IC SO S ff bgs fe STL S MS/MSD M

Operable Unit Identifier Soil feet below ground surface Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc.

Matrix Spike/Matrix Spike Duplicate Ohio EPA Division of Environmental Services

TABLE 2 OHIO EPA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DATA NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM, OHIO

sys_loc_code:		MF	LBC-12	5	MF	LBC-17	7.5	MF	LBC-1	7.5	MF	LBC-22	2.5	MF	LBC-27.	.8
start_depth:			0			0			0			0			0	
end_depth:			0.5			0.5			0.5			0.5			0.5	
sample_date:		09	120/2006	ò	09	9/19/200	6	09	/19/200	06	09	/19/200	07	09	9/20/200	6
sys_sample_code:		_SS06-12	.5-0-0.5	90722	SS06-17	.5-0 - 0.5	90721	SS06-0	OUP02_	90725	SS06-22	2.5-0-0.5	5_90720	SS06-27	.8-0-0.5	90723
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	5.7	UJ	5.7	21.5	J	5.6	46.6	J	5.8	321	J	56.6	549	J	132
Solids, Percent	%	69.4			71.6			68.6			70.2			60.1		

sys_loc_code:		MF	LBC-33	.0	М	FLBC-33	.3	MF	LBC-35	5.0	MF	LBC-3	5.3	MF	LBC-35.	3	
start_depth:			0		}	0			0			0			0		
end_depth:			0.5			0.5		İ	0.5			0.5			0.5	İ	
sample_date:		09	9/19/200	6	0:	9/19/200	6	09	9/18/200	06	09	/18/200	06	09/18/20			
sys_sample_code:		SS06-33	3.0-0-0.5	90719	SS06-33	3.3-0-0.5	_90718	SS06-35	5.0-0-0.5	5_90717	SS06-35.	3-A-0-0	.5_90715	SS06-35.	90716		
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL.	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	
Mirex	ug/kg	1380	J	268	843	J	211	1060	J	276	1560	J	260	2700	J	528	
Solids, Percent	%	73.9			74.8			71.9	1.		76.8			75.1			

sys_loc_code:		MF	LBC-35	.3	MF	LBC-37.	5
start_depth:		1	0		Ì	0	
end_depth:			0.5			0.5	
sample_date:		09	9/18/200	6	09	9/18/2006	3
sys_sample_code:		SS06-I	DUP01_	90724	SS06-37	7.5-0-0.5	90714
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL.	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	3010	J	529	811	J	119
Solids, Percent	%	75			67.2		-

TABLE 2 OHIO EPA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DATA NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM, OHIO

sys_loc_code:		S	B06-A01		s	B06-A02	2	S	B06-A0	2	S	B06-A0	3	S	B06-A04	
start_depth:			0		ļ	0		ļ	0			0		}	0	1
end_depth:			1			1			1			1			1	
sample_date:		09	9/28/2006	6	09	/28/200	6	09	9/28/200	7	09	9/28/200)6	09	9/28/200	6
sys_sample_code:		SB06-A01-H	IC_00-01_	P_90669A	SB06-A02-H	1C_00-01	I_P_90657	SB06-A02-H	1C_00-0	1_D_90658	SB06-A03-I	HC_00-0	1-P_90659	SB06-A04-I	HC_00-01	_P_90660
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	2130	J	485	117	J	38.2	138	J	37.8	97400	J	10700	2130	J	1010
Solids, Percent	%	82			83.6			84			73.8			79.1		

sys_loc_code:		S	B06-A0	5	S	B06-A0	6	s	B06-A0	7	S	B06-A0	8	s	B06-A09	
start_depth:		1	0			0)	0			0)	0	
end_depth:			1			1			1			1			1	
sample_date:		09	/28/200	6	09	9/27/200	16	09	9/27/200	06	09	/27/200)6	09	9/27/2006	3
sys_sample_code:		SB06-A05-H	IC_00-0	1_P_90661	SB06-A06-I	-IC_00-0	1_P_90652	SB06-A07-H	HC_00-0	1_P_90653	SB06-A08-F	IC_00-0	1_P_90654	SB06-A09-I	HC_00-01	_P_90679
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL.	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	668	J	196	41900	J	4730	15800	J	4770	35500	J	4790	4900	J	942
Solids, Percent	%	80.7			84.2			83.2			83.3			84.2		

sys_loc_code:		S	B06-A10)	S	B06-A11	<u> </u>	S	B06-A1	2	SI	B06-A1	3	S	B06-A14	
start_depth:		1	0		1	0		1	0			0			0	
end_depth:			1			1			1			1			1	Į.
sample_date:		10	0/05/200	6	10	0/05/200	6	09	/27/200	6	09	/27/200	16	10	/02/200	6
sys_sample_code:		SB06-A10-I	HC_00-01	_P_90675	SB06-A11-I	HC_00-01	I_P_90676	SB06-A12-I	IC_00-0	1_P_90655	SB06-A13-H	4C_00-0	1_P_90656	SB06-A14-I	IC_00-01	P_90667
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	2510	J	521	4300	J	1020	42800	J	4790	782	J	201	332	J	50.2
Solids, Percent	%	76.1			78.5			82.7			79.3			79.6		

TABLE 2 OHIO EPA DIVISION OF ENVIRONMENTAL SERVICES DATA NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM, OHIO

sys_loc_code:		S	B06-A15		S	B06-A1	3	S	B06-A17	,	S	B06-A1	7	S	B06-A18	
start_depth:			0			0		Į	0			0			0	1
end_depth:			1			1			1			1			1	
sample_date:		10	/02/2000	3	10)/03/200	6	10	/03/200	6	10	/03/200)6	10)/02/2006	3
sys_sample_code:		SB06-A15-H	IC_00-01	_P_90668	SB06-A16-H	4C_00-0	1_P_90671	SB06-A17-H	HC_00-01	_P_90672	SB06-A17-H	IC_00-01	1_D_90673	SB06-A18-	IC_00-01	P_90670
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	1780	J	495	27400	J	4330	1260	J	255	1100	J	202	311	J	49
Solids, Percent	%	80.8			73.7			78.4			78.4	1		80.8	\\	

sys_loc_code:		S	B06-A19	9	S	B06-A20)	S	B06-A21		S	B06-A2	2	S	B06-A23	3
start_depth:		l	0			0			0			0			0	
end_depth:		ŀ	1		4	1		1	1			1]	1	
sample_date:		09	9/29/200	6	09	9/29/200	6	09	9/29/2006	3	10)/06/200	16	10)/05/200	6
sys_sample_code:	· -		HC_00-01	_P_90662	SB06-A20-I	HC_00-01	_P_90663	SB06-A21-I	HC_00-01	_P_90664	SB06-A22-I	HC_00-0	1_P_90680	SB06-A23-I	HC_00-01	_P_90677
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	188	J	40.4	63.5	J	14.8	66	J	15	165	J	38.6	530	J	98.1
Solids, Percent	_%	79.2	ļ — I		80.3			79.3			82.7			81.2		

sys_loc_code:		s	B06-A24	4	s	B06-A2	5	S	B06-A2	6	S	B06-A27	,
start_depth:		,	0			0		}	0		Ì	0	
end_depth:		ļ	1			1			1			1	
sample_date:		10	/05/200	16	09	9/29/200	6	09	9/29/200	06	10	0/04/200	6
sys_sample_code:		SB06-A24-H	1C_00-0	1_P_90678	SB06-A25-I	HC_00-0	1_P_90665	SB06-A26-H	HC_00-0	1_P_90666	SB06-A27-	HC_00-01	P_90674
Parameter	Units	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL	Result	Qual	RL
Mirex	ug/kg	210	J	41.2	134	J	37.9	92.4	J	24.9	913	J	213
Solids, Percent	%	77.2			84.1			79.8			74.8		

TABLE 3 COMPARISON OF SOIL MIREX DATA NEASE CHEMICAL FACILITY SALEM OHIO

Golder Sample #		Golder Field Duplicate Sample #	OH DES Field Duplicate Sample #	OH DES R ug/kg (dry wi Result	_	OH DE Field Duplicat ug/kg (dry w Result	te Result	Ratio (Primary/Field Duplicate)	RPD (Primary:Field Duplicate)	STL Re ug/kg (dry w Result	3	Ratio (OH DES/STL)	RPD (OH DES:STL)	Exygen Result ug/kg (corrected to dry wt) Result Qual	Ratio (OH DES/Exygen)	RPD (OH DES/Exygen)
SB06-A06-HC_00-01_P	90652			41900	J									10900 J	3.84	117.4
SB06-A07-HC_00-01_P	90653			15800	J					12000	J	1.32	27.3			
SB06-A02-HC_00401_P	90657	SB06-A02-HC_00-01_D	90658	117	J	138	J	0.85	16.5	110	J/	1.06	6.2	93.5 J	1.25	22.3
SB06-A21-HC_00-01_P	90664			66.0	J		NAME OF THE PARTY				~~~			23.1 J	2.86	96.3
SB06-A17-HC_00-01_P	90672	SB06-A17-HC_00-01_D	90673		J	1100	J	1.15	13.6	1400	J	0.90	10.5	297 J	4.24	123.7
SB06-A23-HC_00-01_P	90677			530	J									147 J	3.61	113.1
SB06-A24-HC_00-01_P	90678			210	J					140	J	1.50	40.0			
SB06-A22-HC_00-01_P	90680			87.4	J					66	J	1.32	27.9			
SS06-35.3-B-0-0.5	90716	SS06-DUP01	90724	2700	J	3010	/ J	0.90	10.9	2900	J	0.93	7	479 J	5.64	139.7
SS06-35.0-0-0.5	90717			1060	J									303 J	3.50	111.1
SS06-17.5-0-0.5		SS06-DUP02	90725			46.6	J	0.46	73.7	7.2	J			17.3 A MARCU	1.24	21.6
SS06-12.5-0-0.5	90722			5.7	UJ					15	J	0.38	89.9			

Exygen results provided on a wet weight basis. Golder Assoc. calculated the dry weight results using the percent solids measured by OH DES. RPD = Relative percent difference

OH DES = Ohio Environmental Protection Agency Division of Environmental Services, Reynoldsburg, Ohio STL = Severn Trent Laboratories, Inc. North Canton, Ohio

Exygen = Exygen Research, State College, Pennsylvania
Samples analyzed by all 3 laboratories are shaded.

RPD > 30% shown in bold font

Qualifier Definitions

UJ = Undetected, estimated reporting limit

J = Estimated

